

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,504	06/26/2003	James P. Peterson	200920-9007	5220
759	90 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Michael Best & Friedrich LLC			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
Suite 1900 401 North Mich	igan Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60611		·	1774	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Commence	10/606,504	PETERSON, JAN	1ES P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the maximum statutory per - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum distribution.	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (36 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS stute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered times from the mailing date of this coonsidered (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	6 June 2003.					
	his action is non-final.					
	, '					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 18-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 35 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/05.		mal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 26, 33, 34 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Regarding claims 26, 33 and 34, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- b. Claim 35 recites the limitation "the rigid base" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- c. Claim 35 recites the limitation "the flexible material" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 18-24 and 26-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freeman (US 4,946,532).

A multilayer web construction comprising a release liner, a release coating (R) on the release liner, an adhesive coating (s) on the release coating (R), and a facestock on the adhesive coating (s), wherein the facestock is die-cut to form pressure sensitive labels (Fig. 3A-3D and col. 6, line 21). The facestock is equivalent to the claimed plastic plate, the release coating (R) is equivalent to the claimed backing material, and the release liner is equivalent to the claimed rigid base. The facestock may comprise polyvinylchloride (col. 10, line 14 and col. 11, line 26-28), and may be corona treated to increase printability of the layer (col. 10, line 23). Roughness at a face may be provided by embossing effect (col. 8, line 52).

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 18-22, 24, 27-30, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scholz et al. (US 6,461,707 B1).

Scholz discloses a PSA (pressure sensitive adhesive) label comprising a liner, a releasable material on the liner, and a PSA layer on the releasable material, and a facestock on the PSA layer, wherein the label is printed cut and stripped to form the desired shape and size (col. 3, lines 26-48). The liner is equivalent to the claimed rigid base, the releasable material is equivalent to the claimed backing material and the facestock is equivalent to the claimed plastic plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1774

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (US 4,946,532).

Freeman discloses the claimed invention except for slots or holes in the pressure sensitive labels. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to make slots or holes in the labels, since applicant has not disclosed that the slots and holes solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the slots and holes.

7. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. (US 6,461,707 B1).

Scholz discloses the claimed invention except for slots or holes in the pressure sensitive labels. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to make slots or holes in the labels, since applicant has not disclosed that the slots and holes solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the slots and holes.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S. July 9, 2005.

> BETELHEM SNEWAREGED PRIMARY EXAMINER